

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/978,275	YAMADA, MASAHIKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony Mackowey	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Anthony Mackowey.

(2) Susan Pan (Reg. No. 41, 239).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 January 2008

Time: 1:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-26

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 BRIAN WERNER
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative indicating that in having parentheses around "indicating a reading sensitivity" and "indicating latitude" which defined the S and L values recited in claims 22-26 it becomes unclear whether the information in the parenthesis is important/given weight. Examiner suggested removing the parentheses so it is clear these elements are given weight. It was agreed the parentheses would be removed and replaced with commas through an Examiner's Amendment.